and the first of the state of the state of the



(LO) 11-47 6 January

OPERATIONS LVALUATION GROUP STUDY NO. 305

SUMMARY OF GERMAN TORPEDO FIRING

IN WORLD WAR II,

WITH COMPARATIVE FIGURES FOR U. S. SUBMARINES.

This Study represents the view of the Operations Evaluation Group at the time of issue, and is for information only; it does not necessarily reflect the official opinion of the Chief of Naval Operations. It includes information of an <u>operational</u>, rather than a technical nature, and should be made available only to those authorized to receive such information.

Reproduction of this document in any form by other than Naval activities is not authorized except by special approval of the Chief of Naval Operations.

This material contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, U.S.C. Sections 793 and 794, the transmission or revelation of which in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

Copyright CNA Corporation/Scanned November 2003 Properod by OPERATIONS EVALUATION GHOUP (formerly Operations Research Group) Office of the Chief of Naval Operations Classification changed to _______ASSIFIED

Copyright CNA Corporation/Scanned October 2003

By authority of CNO	Ser 120 PO3EG of	1 7 MAR 1960
By	JAMES A. HOVER	

(LO) 11-47 6 January 1947

OPERATIONS EVALUATION GROUP STUDY NO. 305

SUMMARY OF GERMAN TORPEDO FIRING IN WORLD WAR II, WITH COMPARATIVE FIGURES FOR U. S. SUBMARINES.

Reference (a) NavTechMisEu Technical Report No. 202-45: General Survey of German Torpedoes, September 1945, SECRET.

UNCLASSIFIED

CONFIDENTIAL

I. Summary

This report is based on a study of IBM cards relating to German torpedo war shots. The cards were prepared by the Germans and have not been checked against allied records except for a few comparisons reported herein. Furthermore, the card file does not contain data on submarines that were lost nor for any action after October 1944.

Tables 1 and 2 show an over-all picture of the U.S. and German submarine effort. However, it is very important to note that the data in these tables, as well as all other data in this report are the result of combining different ordnance and different tactical situations; therefore only conclusions of the most general nature should be drawn from the data presented. The figures for U.S. submarines are given for comparison.

Tables 3 and 4 show the use of, and results with, the several torpedo types used. On the basis of per cent hitting the target American and German torpedoes compare as follows:

	U.S.	German
Acoustic	32% hits	61% hits
Steam. straight	35% hits	52% hits
Electric, straight	29% hits	13% hits
Pattern		41% hits

This difference is primarily due to the fact that the U.S. used a salvo about twice as large as that used by the Germans. On the basis of per cent of salvos successful, the results are almost identical (55% for U. S., 52% for Germany).

II. Introduction

2.0

After the end of the war, the British Admiralty Delegation found in Germany a file of IBM cards describing the firing of torpedoes by German U/Boats.

COMPTUNCLASSIFIED

(10) 11-407

Table L. Summani Flour	Ciril	No. 16. THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OF T
2014-1949-1951 - 1951 - 2013 - 2014 - 201	U.S.	domain
Torpedoes (total)	14398	8467
Torpedoes (acoustic)	122	* 338
Hits (total)	4831	3755
Hits (acoustic)	39	208
Salvos (total)	498 1	6477
Salvos (accustic)	122	338
Successful salvos	2755	3382
Submarine months at sea	2198	1765 (1)
Ships sunk by these submarines	1738 (5) 478 (1)
Ships sunk, total period covered	1738 (9	5) 1777 (6)
Submarines lost	49	781.
Merchant vessels attacked	3087	2534 (2)
Merchant vessels hit	2138	1713 (2)
Merchant vessels sunk	1436	1236 (2)
Torpedoes fired at merchant vesse	1s 10809	5362 (2)
Hits on merchant vessels	4005	2701 (2)
Targets attacked	4074	3986
Targets hit	2571	2445
Targets sunk	1335 (3	5) 1594 (4)

U.S. Strategic area July 1942 through May 1943 Atlantic for June 1943 through September 1944
Atlantic only
O.N.I. records
O.N.I. records
COMINCH RECORDS, Pearl Harbor to V.E. day.
Submarine command
U/Boat command, total period

(10) 11-47

Table 2. SUIMARY STATISTICS

UNCLASSIFIED

3

cc

	U.S.	German
Percent of torpedoes hitting	314	山
Percent of salvos successful	55	52
Torpedoes per salvo (except accustic)	2.9	1.3
Ships sunk per 100 sub months at sea	78.6	27.1
Torpedoes fired per ship sunk	8.3	4.8
Merchant vessels hit per 100 attacked	69	68
Merchant vessels sunk per 100 hit	67	72
Percent hits among torps fired at M/V'	8 37	50
Number of hits per M/V hit	1.9	1.6
Average range of fire(except acoustic)	2063 yds.	2100 yds.
Percent under 1500 yards	37	50*
* Rough approximation, since range is nearest kilometer.	given only	to

CONFIDENTUNCLASSIFIED

Year	35	**************************************	Sha	Sta	ng Kanto San di Angara ya mudikania ing ma	1. State Carlos - 10 Space Carlos and Anno	H	ltø	ne san ti person ay an mai sik sasia
Quart	er	Steam	Elec.	Acou.	TOTAL	Steam	Elec.	Acou.	TOTAL
1941 TON	4 Ai	104			104 204	13			13
1942	1 2 2	369 406 476 690			369 406 476 690	104 96 151 208 599			104 96 151 208 599
1943	1234	740 749 1032 1170 3691	21 80 101		740 749 1053 1250 3798	256 274 317 439	1 20 24		256 274 321 459
1944	1234	1217 1079 1124 663 4283	91 183 575 1021 1070	1	1308 1262 1700 1888 6158	475 466 453 345 2739	39 60 202 317 63.8	3	514 526 655 665 2360
1945	123	307 352 127	600 612 288	43 148 26	950 1012 441	74 96 29	150 138 66	10 14 12	234 248 107
909 •	•A.I.,		1500		21.03	<u>200</u>	70) ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
zo:	'AL	10805*	3471	122	14398	3796	996	39	4832

Table 3. TORPEDOES FIRED BY U.S. SUBMARINES



5

The file was reproduced by B.A.D., and the reproduced file was forwarded to the Operations Evaluation Group. The card file covers, but not entirely, U/Boat activity from 3 September 1939 to the end of October 1944. It is incomplete with respect to the activities of U/Boats on the patrols on which they were lost, and a small amount of scattered information, believed by B.A.D. to have been omitted for no special reason. Since large numbers of German U/Boats were lost during 1943 and 1944, the data for those years are particularly incomplete.

The card file was never used by the Germans. It was still in the process of construction at the end of the war, and contained a large number of inconsistencies. It has been scrutinized and many errors eliminated, but some undoubtedly remain. A summary of the contents of the file appears in Appendix (A).

It must be emphasized that all figures and conclusions contained in this study are based on the claims of the Germans, as recorded in the punched cards described above, and that these data have not been compared with Allied records. A study which would be of interest would be a comparison of German claims, each pecially their descriptions of the target, with the Allied casualty records.

III. Discussion of Tables and Graphs

a. Torpedo Expenditure

(10) 11-47

4

<u>.</u>

Table 4a lists German torpedoes fired, by quarter and torpedo type. A summary of the frequency of the use of the Various types by year, is given below.

X	electric.(impact)	1940	1941 84%	<u>1942</u> 84%	<u>1943</u> 46%	1944
r	electric.(proximity) 18	₩	\$	30%	20%
¥	steam	17%	14%	9%	3%	*
M	electris.(pattern)	*		₩	5%	30%
B	accoustic				4%	40,4
L	steam (pattern	*			472	5%
	other	48	25	7%	8%	5%

* Either zero or extremely small percentage.

COMFIDENTION CLASSIFIED

-

٠.

(10)11-67

1 Addi

Tabl: 4a. TORPEDOES FIRED BY CERMAN SUBMARINES (See Appendix B for description of torpedo types)

* Data very incomplete.

ŧ,

UNCLASSIFIED

These data indicate that the types X, Y, and W were used most frequently until the end of 1943. In 1944, the type B (acoustic) and M (pattern) were used more frequently.

Table 45 lists German torpedo hits by quarter and torpedo type. The total percentage success for each type, for the entire period is as follows:

incontinuent south	43.	59	6 hits
Y	37	12	
B	61	12 0%	
N	35	0%	
Ţ	46	50	
Total	22	6	hits

Of all salvos fired, 52% were successful in obtaining one or more hits. On the basis of successful salvos the acoustic homing torpedo appears to have been about 15% better than the nonhoming torpedo. However, the acoustic homing torpedo was never fired in salvo, but only as a single shot. For the entire period, for the most frequently used German torpedo types, the ratios were:

	Torpedo Typ	8		Torpa/Salvo
E - acoust	ic homing	and a second		1.0
X - eloctr	ic (straight,	impact)		2.3
Y - electr	ic (straight,	proximity)		1.7
11 - steam	(straight, 40	or 1/4 kts)		1.07
	Total for al	1 torpedoes	erol (1899) (1997) - 194	1.3

If economy is to be considered, the maximum advantage of the accustic torpedo may best be estimated by comparing the percentage of hits against the percentage of successful salves. These two percentages, by major torpedo type are as follows:

Torpedo Type	Percent salvos successful	Percent torpedoes hitting
Straight(X,W,Y,V) Pattern (M,L) Homing (B)	52% 54% 61%	445 17% 61%
Total	52%	Lilg

CONFIDENTIAL



Teble 4b. GERMAN TORPEDO HITS

(See Appendix B for description of torpedo types)

48.51.51.51.5 <u>1.60</u> .010.1130	Unk .	ric	Elect	am	Ste	ern	Patt	Aco	Year &
TOTAL		Y	X	W	V	M	L.	B	Quarter
8		***	1		1		**	al de la siere Se se m yse	1939 3
67	1	60	45	13	8	-	-	-	4
69	2	8:24	40	13	9	108'A.	€ x≇	458	TOTA,
92		800	69	20	3			n ang turi Nang turi Nang ti n g turi	1940 1
101	5 25		78	20	3	**	rite	-	2
100	**		120	21	5	A23	4 99 100		
103 564	4.3	1	456	68 07	16	¥47.		44.	POPAT.
115	1		89	23	2				1941 1
236	ī		158	70	6	-	•	1	2
125	1	48	122	2	<u>o</u>	-	•		3
113		440	106	4	3	••		194	4 27 2 3 2 7
003	•	۳ ۵	\$70	79.0			49		TUL ISLA
301	2	40	271	28	Q	20	•••		1942 1
325	1		267	55	8	-			2
380		10	290	39	3		្តិ		0 4
1396	61	11	1157	1.58	7	448	2	6	TCTAL
364	18	88	221	8	5		23	1	1943 1
203	14	51	117	18	6	1	1		5
164	13	58	43	10	8	7	12	13	3
125 856	49	20 233	536	32	19	28 28	11 74	40 60	POTAL
176		ግድ	Λ	17		90	2	70	1044 1
80	2	15	Ť	400 -		17	2	52	
55	ī	5	ар С	1	~	24	3	21	3
1	•	cull	. '. ' _y	679		1	-	-	4*
<u>- 897</u>	5	- 56	5		and a second		15	145	10141
3755	137	280	2507	402	62	99	62	206	TOTAL

^{*} Deta very incomplete.



(10) 11-47

٦



9

Total torpedo shots and hits are shown graphically in figure 1. The German records show fewer torpedoes fired than were fired by U.S. submarines, but this is largely due to the lack of data from the large number of missing U/Boats.

b. Size of salvo

Figure 2 shows that both Germany and the U.S. tended to increase the size of the salvo as the war progressed, but the average for Germany (1.3 torpedces) was much lower than that for the U.S. (2.9 torpedces). The percent of successful salvos was about the same for the two countries, but the U.S. increased to a maximum of 69% in the 2nd quarter of 1944, then decreased to the end of the war. It is interesting to note that the Germans were able to achieve about the same success as the U.S. subs with fewer torpedces per salvo.

The total number of torpedoes fired per ship sunk increased almost constantly in the case of the German effort, as shown in Figure 3, while for the U.S. it remained fairly constant. If average range can be shown to affect success, this could be caused by the increase in range of German fire (see par. f).

Figures 4a and 4b show the number of ships sunk per 100 submarine months at sea and the total number of submarines lost. The Germans, in their own records show almost constantly decreasing productivity, and at the same time they had an almost constantly increasing loss rate. The COMINCH figures on the results of the U/Boat war give them credit for an increased sinking rate beginning in the 2nd quarter of 1944. This could have resulted from the use of the acoustic torpedo.

In this connection, Figure 5 shows that both the U.S. and the German submarine commands probably were over-conservative in giving the submarine credit for sinking a target that was hit. It is interesting to not how this conservation increased, for both countries, as the war progressed, then decreased. The final collapse of the German U/Boat war is reflected at the end of the curve.

Table 5 lists the average number of torpedces fired at each target, by year and result of attack. For the entire period, the average number of torpedces per target was:

per	target	attacked	2.12
per	target	sunk	2.18
per	target	demaged	2.32



UNCLASSIFIED

CONFIDENTIAL

(10) 11-47

4

ેવ્ટ



UNCLASSIFIED

2.00

(LO) 11-67



4

1

12



Figure 3.

地特别的人们

1 Martin A



1 Constants

(10) 11-49



UNGLOSSEL

Â.

٩,

(10) 11-67



(10) 11-47

÷.

50

-



UNGLAUTIMIED

16

.

(1.0) 11-47

It is interesting to note that an average of 2.32 torpedoes was fired to damage a target, while an average of only 2.18 was fired to sink a target. Damaged targets received fewer hits, however.

Table 6 shows the average number of salvos per target, by year and result of attack. These figures show that for the entire period, the average number of salvos per target was:

per	target	attacked	1.63
per	target	sunk	1.72
per	target	damaged	1.85

Again it is seen that more salvos were expended on damaged than on sunk ships.

Of the total number of salvos fired, 52% were successful in scoring one or more hits on the target. Of the number which were successful in scoring one or more hits on the target, 73% were effective in sinking ships, and 27% were effective in damaging ships. An index of effectiveness of German torpedc fire can be found in the number of ships which they sank per shot and per salvo. These indexes are:

			sunk or
	ships sunk	ships damaged	damaged
Per salvo	0.28	0.10	0.38
Per shot	0.21	0.08	0.29

TANGE S. AVERAGE GERMAN TORPEDOES PER TAINFT

...

	* 7944.5	112 , 124, 124, 18 1	2007) 46 0	edoes fi ach targ	red ot		Perpedo n each t	hits arget	Total torpedoes
Carget.	****	3.49 k	teres.	No demage	Fired	Sunk	Dam- aged	Fired	fired per ship sur
3incle mips			2.00 Total	1.62 1.45 1.99 2.28 2.30 1.42	1.53 1.53 2.18 2.42 2.54 1.59	1.11 1.27 1.63 1.70 1.66 1.20	1.00 1.06 1.55 1.54 1.52 1.55	0.50 0.69 1.00 1.02 0.94 0.75	3.64 3.09 4.46 5.92 5.75 4.58
Ships in sonvoy			2.00 1.70 2.11 2.00 2.08 2.16	2.00 1.31 1.47 2.29 2.04 2.43	2.00 1.51 1.50 2.30 2.14 2.02	1.60 1.25 1.77 1.75 1.64 1.13	1.00 1.41 1.44 1.45 1.50 1.50	1,00 0.94 1.17 0.93 1.26 0.83	3.60 3.12 4.45 6.15 3.58 5.85
ill Mips				1.62 1.44 1.91 2.28 2.29 1.45	1.55 1.52 2.11 2.40 2.48 1.77	1.16 1.22 1.68 1.69 1.71 1.33	1,00 1,27 1,40 1,54 1,51 1,51 1,51	0.55 0.76 0.99 1.02 1.07 0.79	3 · 54 3 · 09 4 · 58 5 · 85 5 · 20 4 · 45

UNCLASSIFILD

•

. 0.

rab]	Le é	20	AVERA	IGE	Giangenar	PATAPOS	ומחת	TARGET
		- - -				······································	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TALLY AND AL

18

UNCLASSIFIED

			Sal at e	vos fire ach tar	et	Suc	essful each ta	salvos rget	Total salvos	Total torps
Target I	Year	Sunk	Dem-	No demage	Fired	Sunk	Dam- aged	Fired	fired per ship sunk	per salvo
All Ships	1939 1940 1941 1942 1943 1944 TOTAL	1.40 1.48 1.94 1.85 1.72 1.38 1.72	2.00 1.53 2.08 1.92 1.76 1.66 1.05	1.55 1.30 1.53 1.52 1.39 1.27	1.50 1.42 1.81 1.74 1.61 1.38 1.63	1.15 1.23 1.54 1.47 1.42 1.20	1.00 1.25 1.47 1.40 1.30 1.39 1.39	0.54 0.74 0.95 0.90 0.89 0.72 0.05	3.44 2.88 3.92 4.25 3.38 3.47 5.66	1.03 1.08 1.17 1.38 1.54 1.28

UNCLASS MED

(2.0) 11-47

1

UNCLASSIFIED

c. A picture of the war on Herchant Voesela

By eliminating other than merchant vessels, we obtain a more homogeneous picture of every-day submarine warfare, and one which can be used properly to compare the U. S. and German activities. The number of targets hit per target attacked is shown in Figure 6; Figure 7 shows the number sunk per target hit. Figure 8 shows the percent of torpelces hitting and Figure 9 the average number of hits per target hit. The similarity, both in magnitude and behavior, of the graphs for the two nations is apparent.

d. Effect of convoy status

Table 7 shows the effect of torpedo shots, by year and convoy status of the target. For the entire period, the percent of torpedoes hitting is:

On single ships On unescorted groups On ships in convoy 42%

There is, of course, an increased risk to the submarine in an attack on a convoy, but he has a much greater chance of hitting a target not primarily aimed at. The distribution of total shots fired is as follows:

At single ships At unescorted groups At ships in convoy Unknown Status

Independent ships which were damaged rather than sunk seemed to draw considerably more fire, but received about the same number of hits as ships that were sunk. On the other hand a ship damaged from a convoy drew about the same fire, but received fewer hits than one sunk from a convoy.

e. Accuracy and fire control

Table E shows the correlation between aim point and impact point, for single shots.







本:1386444



21

PERCENT HITE AMONG TORPIDOES FIRED AT MERCHANT VESISIAS by quarter

.





UNCLEWAFIED

	Construction of the operation of the interview of the operation of	an san tar Stradisticani	Sunk		D	amaged		No da	mage	mangalan maka sa b	rotal.	an an thain an tha
Year	Convoy status	Tar- gets	shots at	llits on	Tar Cets	shots at	Hits on	Tar- gets	Shots	Tar- gets	Shots at	Hite Cn
1939	Single Unesc.Group Convoy Unknown	147 3 5	64 1 10	52 48	4 1	8	4	61 2 3	99 2 6		171 6 18	56
	TOTAL	55	73	64	5	10	5	66	107	126	395	
1940	Single Unes.Group Convoy Unknown TOTAL	282 29 55 366	454 41 84 579	357 38 69 1.64	34 18 27 79	48 28 46 122	36 26 38 100	254 12 32 298	369 19 12 12	570 59 114 743	871 88 172 1331	393 107
1941	Single Unos. Group Convoy Unknown TOTAL	199 31 44 274	448 65 92 605	321 16 78 1018	55 11 27 2 95	134 25 57 3 219	85 14 39 3 151	155 37 32 226	308 74 47 1,52	409 79 103 4 595	890 164 196 1256	408 58 117 3 3
1942	Single Unes.Group Convoy Unknown TOUAL	387 121 48 1	965 287 120 6 1/70	657 197 84 3 911	198 68 29 205	504 158 58 720	306 107 42	361 93 51 51	823 209 117 14 1163	946 282 128 7	2292 654 295 20 3261	963 304 126

Table 7. EFFECT OF GERMAN TORPEDO SHOTS By Year and Convoy Status of Target

*

15

UNCLASSIFIED

NN

1943	Single Unes.Group Convoy Unknown TOTAL	219 83 77 4 303	581 232 169 12 994	363 162 126 5 656	73 34 24 152	207 78 50 1 356	111 205 52 47 36 28 1 /9 200 200	473 118 57 15 665	497 1261 164 428 129 276 14 28 004 1973	474 214 162 6	
1944	Single Unes.Group Convoy Unknown COTAL	74522	106 98 38 2	89 72 26 2	45 11 6 62	99 23 13	70 94 13 34 9 14 9 11 9 11	134 66 34 18 222	213 339 90 187 42 85 12 20 357 353	159 85 35 2	
Total	Single Unes.Group Convoy Unknown TOTAL	1288 312 251 6 1057	2618 727 513 20 3070	1842 519 391 10 2762	1409 11/2 11/4 568	1000 312 226 4	612 1130 212 225 165 160 4 28 295 1545	2206 488 303 50 5047	2747 5824 : 679 1527 525 1042 37 74	21.54 731 556 14	·

UNCLASSIFIED

NS NS ر ويوله رئيلو مر

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

24

Table 8. AIM POINT VS IMPACT POINT Single German Torpedoes only

Torpedo type	Aim point	Point c 1 2	f imp	act 4	Total
All torpedces	1 2 3 4 TOTAL	112 64 182 553 1 1 14 40 309 658	11 86 6 24 127	49 301 2 112 112	236 1122 10 190 1558
Турэ V	1 2 3 4 TOTAL	1 1 6 13 0 0 0 0 7 14	0301-1	1605	28 0 6 37
Туре и	i 2 3 4 TOTAL	16 6 27 112 0 0 0 6 43 124	1 20 2 5 20	7 56 0 24 87	30 215 2 02
Туре Х	1 2 3 4 TOTAL	93 55 144 402 1 1 13 32 251 490	10 63 18 94	35 220 2 82 339	193 829 7 145 1174
Туре Ү	1 2 3 4 TOTAL	2 4 5 26 0 0 1 2 32	0 0 1 0	6 19 0 1 26	12 50 1 4 67



It is a severe test of fire control to require that the torpedo hit the point on the ship for which it is aimed. This test can be applied only to straight running torpedces, and to single torpedo shots as tabulated in Table 8. The points of reference used are:

UNCLASSIFIED

1 - Fermard

2 - Anidships

- 3 Engines (Although "Engines" have no fixed place, they will usually come at or between Class 2 or h.)
- li Art

The following table gives the values of a modified rankdifference correlation coefficient which varies from the value "0" if the hits were equally distributed along the hull regardless of aim point, to "1" if the torpedoes all hit the point at which they were aimed. The development of the formula for "R" is given in Appendix C.

1992 1994 1994 1994 1996 1996 1996 1996 1996	Torpe	do type R
V - (steam, 30	knots) .12
X - (electric,	contact)
and a second	electric,	proximity) .64
		All types .48

The increase in R(U) over R(V) is to be expected on the basis of the greater speed of Type U. Type Y was used later in the war than X.

f. Range of fire

Figure 10, which indicates no appreciable variation of accuracy with range, is included because of the general current interest in the subject. However, range is correlated with many other variables and until it can be separated from them no really valid conclusions can be reached on the affect that it may have. (Note: a study of this nature is now in progress in the 0.E.G.) Figure 11 shows how the Germans increased range of fire as the war progressed.

Submitted by:

Earl & bardner

EARL B. GARDINER, Operations Evaluation Group.

Approved by: amp. GLEN D. CAMP, Operations Evaluation Group









Appendix A: CONTENTS OF GARDS CAPTURED IN GERMANY

Card No. 1 (applies to one salvo).

- 1. Serial number
- 2. U/Boat
- 3. Date and time
- 4. Water depth
- 5. Area
 - 5. Visibility, weather, overcast, day-night-twillight
- 7. Wind and sea
- 8. Nater temperature
- 9. Target type, cargo, tonnage, convoy status, draft, length
- 10. Type of salvo, divergence, depth setting
- 11. Faulty torpedoes and fire control
- 12. Fire control and trim angle
- 13. Aim point
- 14. Dive and turn after fire
- 15. H1ts

28

- 16. C/O of U/Boat
- 17. Torp. running time
- 18. Attack officer

Card No. 2 (applies to each torpedo).

Serial number
Running depth
U/Boat course and speed
Target bearing, course, speed
U/Boat angle on target bow
Range - First Est., firing, TDC
Initial torp. run, loop, Lut speed
Evidence of hit, type, and effect
Pistol type
Torp. type and speed
Failures and causes
Enemy counteraction
Point of impact
Torpedo gyro, course, angle on target bow
Angle between torpedo and swell
Time for target to sink

17. Loop in which hit occurred



(1.0) 11-47

V

W

L

X

M

14

UNCLASSIFIED

Appendix B: DESCRIPTION OF GIMMAN TORPIDUES

- T-I: A steam propelled torpedc, with wake. Speed 30 knots, range 12,500 meters, accuracy 11% of range.
- T-I: Modified for a speed of 40 knots, range 7,500 meters; or a speed of 44 knots, range 5,000 meters.
- T-I Fat I: A 30 knot anti-convoy torpedo. After an initial straight run, the T-I Fat I turns 90° to port or starboard, and thereafter makes 180° turns. The setting of "long" (5 knot) legs or "short" (7.5 knot) legs determined the rate of advance. This torpedo was designed to be most efficient when fired at an angle of 90° to the course of the convoy.
- T-11: An electric, wakeless torpedo, powered by a 110V battery developing 90 hp. Speed 30 knots, range 5,000 meters if preheated to 30° C; 3,000 meters if not preheated.
- Y T-III: An electric, wekeless torpedo. This type is the T-II adapted for use with the proximity platol. Speed 30 knots, range 5,000 meters if preheated to 30° C; 3,000 meters if not preheated.
 - T-IIIa: An electric, wakeless, torpedo fitted with proximity pistol. The T-III had too short a range to permit the use of figure-run devices; therefore to increase the range it was fitted with a larger battery. This modification, the T-IIIa, had a range of 7,500 meters at 30 knots with 30° C preheating. For a range of 5,000 meters the aiming error was 1.5% of the running distance; for larger distances 2%. Both Fat II and Lut I were combined with the T-IIIa.
 - T-IIIa Lut I: An electric, wakeless torpedo fitted with proximity pistol and modified tracking pattern. The leg length could be adjusted to the speed of the target, and the torpedo could be fired at a target in a position of 0° to 360° from the submarine. By adjusting the position and rate of advance to the course and speed of the target, a higher probability of hitting was expected.

UNCLASSIFED

UNGLASSIFIED

M F-IIIa Fat II: An electric, vehicless torped, it is with a proximity platel and modified fat I for acts ing pattern could have either "Long" (5 knot) legs, is could circle with a diameter of 540 meters to prob. The circling torpedces were designed to be firme at a target in a position of 0° to 180° from the summarine.

B

30

*

T-IV and T-V: Acoustically controlled, homing burgedets. Speed 24.5 knots, electrically driven.



Appendix C: THE MODIFIED PARK-DIFFERENCE CORRELATION CONFICIENT.

UNCLASSIFIED DYAX.

We desire a measure of rank correlation in a square distribution where all cells may contain frequencies, the rank proceeding from 1 to n by unit intervals. The measure should give "O" if all cells have the same frequency and "1" if all frequencies lie on the principal diagonal. By the nature of the problem negative correlation is inadmissible. The measure should be simple to compute.

Such a measure will be given by

$$R = 1 - \frac{\sum fxy |x-y|}{K}$$

where K is to be determined so that the lower limit of R will be 0. The upper limit is fixed at "l", since the fraction will be 0 in the case of 111 correspondence in rank.

Now if each of the cells contains "a" items the numerator of the fraction will be, by reference to Figure 1.

 $2\sum_{r=1}^{n-1}\sum_{u=1}^{n-r}au = a \frac{n(n^2-1)}{3} \text{ where } u = |x-y|$

which is equal to

$$\frac{(n^2-1)\sum_{fXY}}{3n}$$

since $\sum rxy = n^2 a$.

Now since h = 0 for this case,

$$K = \frac{(n^2 - 1) \sum fXY}{3n}$$

UNCLASSIFIED



and the formula for R is given by

$$R = 1 - \frac{3n \sum fXY}{(n^2-1) \sum fXY}$$

which roduces to

$$R = \frac{3}{5N} \sum fXY |X-Y|$$

for the case where $n = l_1$. N is the total number of observations in the table.

				•	5. 12. in 19. in	
	1	2	3	4	5	• • • • a n
1	0	1	2	3	4	
2	1	0	1	2	3	
3	2	1	0	1	2	
4	3	2	1	0	1	
5	4	3	2	1	0	
•						
'n						

Y

32

Figure 1. The figures in the cells are u = |X-Y|

